2 3	Andrew P. Bridges (SBN: 122761) 101 California Street, 39th Floor San Francisco, California, 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400	
5	Email: abridges@winston.com Attorneys for Defendant	
6	NAVTEQ CORPORATION	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOS	E DIVISION *E-FILED - 8/17/06*
10	TELE ATLAS N.V. and TELE ATLAS) Case No. 05 C 01673 RMW
11	NORTH AMERICA,) STIPULATED REQUEST AND
12	Plaintiffs,	 [] ORDER TO EXTEND THE DISCOVERY CUT-OFF; DECLARATION OF ANDREW M.
13	v. NAVTEQ CORPORATION,) JOHNSTONE IN SUPPORT THEREOF
14	Defendant.) Complaint filed April 22, 2005
15	Defendant.) The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
16	Subject to the approval of the	– is Court, the parties hereby jointly request and
17	stipulate to a short extension of the discovery	cut-off and modification of pretrial deadlines as
18	shown below pursuant to Rule 6, Fed. R. Civ. P., and Local Rule 6-2.	
19	This is a complex antitrust ca	se in which discovery covers a nearly ten-year
20	period. <i>See</i> Declaration of Andrew M. Johnstone ¶ 2, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Consequently, document production in this matter has been voluminous with both sides producing a combined total of roughly 400,000 pages of documents to date. <i>Id.</i> Moreover, both sides continue to produce documents on a rolling basis. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 3. Additionally, the parties have met and conferred over various discovery-related issues multiple times and thus far have been	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	able to avoid the Court's involvement. <i>Id.</i> However, in order to complete outstanding document	
26	discovery, conduct deposition discovery, and	d otherwise defend and prosecute this case, the
27		
28		1

1 parties agree that a short extension of the Court-ordered schedule is required. Id. \P 4. The 2 parties note that this would be the **first** modification of the schedule originally set by the Court. 3 *Id.* ¶ 5. Moreover, this proposed extension would delay the ultimate resolution of this case by 4 only a few months compared to the existing schedule. *Id.* 5 The parties specifically request new deadlines as follows: 6 The current schedule: The Parties' proposed schedule: 7 Fact discovery closes September 1, 2006 Fact discovery closes January 31, 2007 8 Expert discovery closes December 31, 2006 Expert discovery closes March 30, 2007 9 Dispositive motions due January 19, 2007 Dispositive motions due April 13, 2007 10 Pre-trial conference on April 19, 2007 Pre-trial conference on July 12, 2007 11 Trial to begin the week of May 14, 2007 Trial to begin the week of July 23, 2007 12 Because there is good cause for the modest extension of discovery and modification of 13 the case schedule, the parties respectfully request the Court's approval of the proposed schedule. 14 SO STIPULATED: 15 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & Dated: August 14, 2006 HEDGES, LLP 16 17 By: /s/ David Eiseman Attorneys for Plaintiffs 18 TELE ATLAS N.V. AND TELE ATLAS NORTH AMERICA 19 20 Dated: August 14, 2006 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 21 By:/s/ Andrew P. Bridges 22 Attorneys for Defendant NAVTÉO CORPORATION 23 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: 24 25 26 8/17/06 /S/ RONALD M. WHYTE Dated: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 27 28 STIPULATED REQUEST AND [] ORDER TO EXTEND THE DISCOVERY CUT-OFF; DECLARATION OF

(jg)

(jg)

(jg)